

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 9, 2000

LB 171

taking these kinds of issues on a case-by-case basis. I remember that he was a member of the Revenue Committee, we started taking some of these issues on a case-by-case basis. The one that I remember the most is refractory brick, that was an issue that was brought to us with regard to Nucor Steel. Nucor Steel had a kind of special product that lines its kilns. That product is eroded away in the process of making steel, it becomes incorporated into steel; Nucor Steel came to us and made the argument that, my gosh, our refractory brick becomes incorporated into steel, it looks like a product that goes into the manufacturing process, we ought to exempt it from the sales tax. The Revenue Committee realized that we had a general policy of exempting manufacturing inputs, we didn't want to have an effect that was called pyramiding, and we exempted refractory brick for...or recommended to you, and you adopted an exemption for refractory brick. We thought that was the end of that issue. We didn't think there were any other examples like that in the state of Nebraska, at least we didn't know about them. And we thought that was the end of that issue. We thought we'd taken that case-specific issue and made a recommendation to you and that the issue was over with. Lo, that was not the end of that issue. The very next year we found out that there were all other kinds of examples, one of them was brought to us by Senator Lynch. He had somebody that used aluminum dyes, aluminum dyes, and those aluminum dyes got used and then they thought they should have a sales tax exemption for those aluminum dyes. It was at that point that Senator Kristensen and other members of the Revenue Committee realized we had made an awful mistake, we had made an awful mistake. We really hadn't seen where we were pointing this Legislature in terms of tax policy. We actually introduced a bill to undo the Nucor Steel exemption; didn't go anywhere, it was too late. We actually introduced a bill to undo the Nucor Steel exemption, because we realized we had recommended to you and you had adopted a policy that led us into areas that we did not think it was appropriate to go. In that instance we hadn't even seen where we were going. In this instance the road is clear, the road is clear. Federal mandates are pervasive, they are pervasive in our economy, they are pervasive in the way all kinds of businesses do business. How are you going to distinguish this one? How will you ask the Revenue Committee to distinguish this one from future requests for sales tax abatement, when some other